

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/029,779 | 12/18/2001 | Rudiger Heidemann | MSB-7277 | 5688 |
| 75 | 590 10/03/2003 | | EXAM | INER |
| Bayer Corporation | | | LANKFORD JR, LEON B | |
| 800 Dwight Wa | ay | | | |
| P.O. Box 1986 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Berkeley, CA 94701 | | | 1651 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 10/02/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| . 4 | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | | | | |
| | | 10/029,779 | HEIDEMANN ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner L Blaine Lankford | Art Unit | | | |
| | Th MAILING DATE of this communication app | | 1651 h the correspondence address | | | |
| Period fo | | | , | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA | oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowa | | | | | |
| Dispositi | closed in accordance with the practice under E ion of Claims | =x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D | . 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)□ | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele | ection requirement. | | | | |
| | ion Papers | | | | | |
| • | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | - Francisco | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the | • | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| , | If approved, corrected drawings are required in repi | | approved by the Examiner. | | | |
| 12)[| The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | • | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in Ap | olication No | | | |
| * S | Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a |) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | visional application has bee | en received. | | | |
| Attachment | | | • | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Inf | nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) . | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/029,779

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5, drawn to a method of cell expansion, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- II. Claims 6-9, drawn to a bioreactor, classified in class 435, subclass 289.1. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process can be performed with apparatuses different than the one claimed and the bioreactor could be used to grow different cell types than in the claimed method.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Blaine Lankford whose telephone number is 308-2455. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0196.

LBlaine Lankford Primary Examiner Page 3

Art Unit 1651